**Subject No. 537.**

**Bridge required at T. Narsipur or Bannur.**

*Summary of discussion.*—Tirumakudlu-Narsipur has trade on a large scale with Satyamangalam, Chamrajnagar and Nanjangud Taluks. A bridge near the junction of the Cauvery and the Kapila or at least near Bannur is urgently required.

*Dewan's reply.*—Not possible at present.

**Subject No. 538.**

**Channelhead sluices and maintenance allotments.**

*Summary of discussion.*—Head sluices of channels should be kept in charge of the Revenue Department, as the divided responsibility between the Revenue and Public Works Departments for regulating the supply of water through Madavayis in the channel is a source of annoyance and inconvenience to cultivators. The allotment for annual repairs to channels is not sufficient. The rate should be raised from Rs. 75 to 100 per mile.

*Dewan's reply.*—Impracticable.

**Subject No. 539.**

**Water-supply arrangements in river channels.**

*Summary of discussion.*—During the Survey Settlement, the lands below the Chikdevaraisagar, Virjanadi and Devarai Channels were assessed at high rates on the assumption that water would be supplied to them freely and without interruption; but the Madavayis are closed every alternate day during the cultivating season, on the plea that water has to be supplied to lands lower down. This practice should be discontinued, or the rates of assessment should be revised.

*Dewan's reply.*—Revision of rates is unnecessary. Regulation of water-supply is required. The Deputy Commissioner will see to this.

**Subject No 540.**

**Contracts for annual repairs to channels.**

*Summary of discussion.*—Contracts for the annual repairs to channels should be given through the Amildars to raiyats holding lands irrigated by the channels.

*Dewan's reply.*—The D. P. W. are quite willing. But local people will not take up contracts. Applications will be entertained.

**Subject No. 541.**

**Sanitary improvements of Seringapatam and Ganjam.**

*Summary of discussion.*—The Sanitary Engineer inspected Seringapatam and Ganjam last year for the purpose of effecting the necessary improvements, but nothing has been done. He should be instructed to carry out the improvements from Provincial Funds at an early date.

*Dewan's reply.*—Estimates are under preparation.

**Subject No. 542.**

**Musafarkhana for Seringapatam.**

*Summary of discussion.*—Seringapatam is visited by a large number of travellers. A Musafarkhana should be provided for it, as there is no place for lodging.

*Dewan's reply.*—Matter for consideration by the L. F. Board. A portion of the mantapams round Narasimhaswami temple will be assigned for the use of travellers.

**Subject No. 543.**

**Assistant Commissioner for Seringapatam.**

*Summary of discussion.*—Seringapatam is a large Taluk with a revenue of Rs. 86,000. An Assistant Commissioner should be stationed there.

*Dewan's reply.*—Impracticable at present.